

Environmental and Energy Study Institute Briefing Series: What Congress Needs to Know About COP27

Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability

Dr. Debora Ley October 12th, 2022

> Ocean Image Bank/M. Curnock, S. Baldwin, CC BY-NC-ND 2.0; Y. Ishida/UNDP T. Leste CC BY-NY 2.0



Species exposed to potentially dangerous climate conditions



IPCC WGII AR6 Report Figure AI.15, still subject to edits

SIXTH ASSESSMENT REPORT Working Group II – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability



Global distribution of population exposed to hyperthermia from extreme heat and humidity - Projections for year 2100



IPCC WGII AR6 Report Figure AI.29, still subject to edits



Future global climate risks



Heat stress

Exposure to heat waves will continue to increase with additional warming.



Water scarcity

At 2°C, regions relying on snowmelt could experience 20% decline in water availability for agriculture after 2050.



Food security

Climate change will increasingly undermine food security.



Flood risk

About a billion people in low-lying cities by the sea and on Small Islands at risk from sea level rise by midcentury.



Simultaneous extreme events compound risks

Multiple extreme events that compound the risks are more difficult to manage

... e.g. reductions in crop yields, made worse by heat stress among farm workers

. . .



Heat stress among farm workers Reduced productivity

Reduced household incomes Potentially global effects



Between 3.3 y 3.6 billion people live in places that are highly vulnerable to climate change

[Denis Onyodi / KRCS CC BY-NC 2.0]

SIXTH ASSESSMENT REPORT Working Group II – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability







These places fase simultaneous challenges

- Limited access to basic services and infrastructure
- Climate sensitive income sources
- High levels of poverty and unequal income distribution
- Problems with governance
- Lack of financing sources
- Low levels of trust



Risk development and reduction under 3 adaptation scenarios: human health



Scenario narratives

Limited adaptation: Failure to proactively adapt; low investment in health systems Incomplete adaptation: Incomplete adaptation planning; moderate investment in health systems Proactive adaptation: Proactive adaptive management; higher investment in health systems

* Mortality projections include demographic trends but do not include future efforts to improve air quality that reduce ozone concentrations.

Adaptation saves lives, reduces risks and has multiple benefits.





There are limits to adaptation

- Even effective adaptation cannot prevent all losses and damages
- Above 1.5°C some natural solutions may no longer work.
- Above 1.5°C, lack of fresh water could mean that people living on small islands and those dependent on glaciers and snowmelt can no longer adapt.
- By 2°C it will be challenging to farm multiple staple crops in many current growing areas.

Maladaptation



 Adaptation that has unintended consequences and exacerbates vulnerability, including shifting risk burdens now and over time, due to short-term actions, lack of attention to the most vulnerable, and lack of planning, amongst others.



The most disadvantaged groups are most affected by maladaptation.

M W Pinsent CC BY-NC-ND 2.0; Dr. D.e Kreeger of the Partnership for the Delaware Estuary CC BY-NC-ND 2.0



Five System Transitions in Adaptation











Land, ocean, coastal and freshwater ecosystems Urban, rural Energy and infrastructure

Industry

Society

- Make possible the adaption required for human health and well being; economic and social resilience; ecosystem health and planetary health
- Are important for achieving the low global warming levels that would avoid many limits to adaptation

					Dimensions of potential feasibility										
System transitions	Representative key risks	Climate responses ¹ and adaptation options	V Potential feasibility	Synergies with mitigation	Economic	າ)) Techno- logical	Insti- tutional	Social	Environ- mental	Geo- physical	Feasibility level and synergies with mitigation	NMENTAL PANEL ON Climate change	(d) (D)		
	Coastal socio- ecological systems	Coastal defence and hardening Integrated coastal zone management	•	not assessed	•	•	•	•	ė	•	High Medium				
Land and ocean ecosystems	Terrestrial and ocean ecosystem services Biodivers	Forest-based adaptation ² Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries Agroforestry ity management and ecosystem connectivity			•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	••••			 Low Insufficient evidence Dimensions of potential feasibility 				
	Water Water use	e efficiency and water resource management	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					
	Food security	Improved cropland management Efficient livestock systems	•	•	•	•	•	•	8	•	in potential feasibility and in synergies with mitigation				
Urban and infrastructure systems	Critical infrastructure, networks and services	Green infrastructure and ecosystem services Sustainable land use and urban planning Sustainable urban water management	•	•	•	••••	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•	Medium Low				
Energy systems	Nater security Improve water use efficien			•				/			Fastastas				
	Critical infrastructure networks and service	e, Resilient power systems S Energy reliability				8	•	8	r T	ot applicable ot applicable	¹ The term response is used here instead of adaptation				
	Human health	Health and health systems adaptation					•			/	because some responses, such as retreat, may or may				
Cross- sectoral	Living standards and	equity Livelihood diversification	diversification						•			•	not be considered to be adaptation.		
	Peace and human mobility	Planned relocation and resettlement Human migration ³	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	² Including sustainable forest management, forest conservation and restoration, referentiation and				
	Other cross-cutting Clima risks	Disaster risk management ite services, including Early Warning Systems Social safety nets Risk spreading and sharing	•	/ •	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	•			 afforestation. ³ Migration, when voluntary, safe and orderly, allows reduction of risks to climatic and non-climatic stressors. 	6 SPM F	Figur		

R6 SPM Figure 4a

SIXTH ASSESSMENT REPORT

Working Group II – Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON Climate change

ipcc

Indicators for adaptation

Dimensions	Adaptation indicators
Economic	Micro-economic viability Macro-economic viability Socio-economic vulnerability reduction potential Employment & productivity enhancement potential
Technological	Technical resource availability Risks mitigation potential
Institutional	Political acceptability Legal & regulatory feasibility Institutional capacity & administrative feasibility Transparency & accountability potential
Socio-cultural	Social co-benefits (health, education) Socio-cultural acceptability Social & regional inclusiveness Intergenerational equity Gender equity
Environmental/ ecological	Ecological capacity Adaptive capacity/ resilience building potential
Geophysical	Physical feasibility Land use change enhancement potential Hazard risk reduction potential



Dimensions of potential feasibility

The Feasibility of Adaptation measures

System transitions	Representa key risks	tive	Climate responses ¹ and adaptation options	V Potential feasibility	Synergies with mitigation	Economic	າ)) Techno- logical	Insti- tutional	Å∗†† Social	Environ- mental	Geo- physical	
	Coastal soc ecological	io- systems	Coastal defence and hardening Integrated coastal zone management	•	not assessed	•	•	•	•	ė	•	
Land and ocean ecosystems	Terrestrial ocean ecos services	and ystem Biodiversity m	Forest-based adaptation ² Sustainable aquaculture and fisheries Agroforestry anagement and ecosystem connectivity	•		•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•	•••••	
	Water security	Water use effic	ciency and water resource management	•	•	•			•	•		
	Food security		Improved cropland management Efficient livestock systems	•	•	•	•	•	•	8	•	
	Confidence level in potential feasibility and in synergies with mitigation			ion	Feasibility level and synergies with mitigation							
	Low	Low Medium High			 Low 	Low 🔿 Medium 🕥 High				/ Insufficient evidence		

Footnotes:

¹ The term response is used here instead of adaptation because some responses, such as retreat, may or may not be considered to be adaptation.

² Including sustainable forest management, forest conservation and restoration, reforestation and afforestation.

³ Migration, when voluntary, safe and orderly, allows reduction of risks to climatic and non-climatic stressors.



The Feasibility of Adaptation measures





Footnotes:

¹ The term response is used here instead of adaptation because some responses, such as retreat, may or may not be considered to be adaptation.

² Including sustainable forest management, forest conservation and restoration, reforestation and afforestation.

³ Migration, when voluntary, safe and orderly, allows reduction of risks to climatic and non-climatic stressors.





IPCC WGII AR6 SPM Figure 4b







[Axel Fassio/CIFOR CC BY-NC-ND 2.0]

Accelerating adaptation

- Political commitment and follow-through across all levels of government
- Institutional framework: clear goals, priorities that define responsibilities
- Enhancing knowledge of impacts and risks improves responses
- Monitoring and evaluation of adaptation measures are essential to track progress
- Inclusive governance that prioritises equity and justice – direct participation



Climate resilient development

Adaptation: Reduced climate risks

Mitigation: Reduced greenhouse gas emissions

Interdependence with ecosystems: Enhanced biodiversity and Sustainable Development Goals

Shifting to a societal development that limits global warming and climate risk, and that advances sustainable development, is urgent





Climate resilient development:

- Adaptation supporting sustainable development
- Increasingly irreversible changes as we approach and exceed 1.5 degrees warming
- Limits to adaptation increase with warming





Climate resilient development:

- Adaptation supporting
 sustainable development
- Increasingly irreversible changes as we approach and exceed 1.5 degrees warming
- Limits to adaptation increase with warming

Current development trajectories

- Adaptation gaps are increasing
- Inequities exacerbate vulnerability
- We are on course to 3.2 degrees warming by 2100
- Path dependence

The prospects to shift to pathways towards sustainable futures depend on action this decade

Development pathways result from continuous societal choices





Economic + financial

Societal choices are the result of multiple decisions made by multiple actors in diverse arenas of engagement

• Multiple government, private sector and civil society actors interact in different arenas of engagement, including **economic + financial**



Photo: shutterstock.com

Photo: Alex Fassio/CC BY-NC-ND 2.0/flickr





Knowledge + technology Economic + financial

Societal choices are the result of multiple decisions made by multiple actors in diverse arenas of engagement

• Multiple government, private sector and civil society actors interact in different arenas of engagement, including economic + financial, **knowledge + technology**



Photos: shutterstock.com



Ecological Knowledge + technology Economic + financial

Societal choices are the result of multiple decisions made by multiple actors in diverse arenas of engagement

• Multiple government, private sector and civil society actors interact in different arenas of engagement, including economic + financial, knowledge + technology, **ecological**



Photo: Bruce Glavovic

Photo: shutterstock.com





Political Ecological Knowledge + technology Economic + financial

Societal choices are the result of multiple decisions made by multiple actors in diverse arenas of engagement

• Multiple government, private sector and civil society actors interact in different arenas of engagement, including economic + financial, knowledge + technology, ecological, **political**



Photo. Marco Oriolesi/unsplash.com

Photo: shutterstock.com



Socio-cultural Political Ecological Knowledge + technology Economic + financial

Societal choices are the result of multiple decisions made by multiple actors in diverse arenas of engagement

 Multiple government, private sector and civil society actors interact in different arenas of engagement, including economic + financial, knowledge + technology, ecological, political, socio-cultural



Photo: Marianne Mosberg

Photo: shutterstock.com



Arenas of engagement: Community Socio-cultural Political Ecological Knowledge + technology Economic + financial

Societal choices are the result of multiple decisions made by multiple actors in diverse arenas of engagement

 Multiple government, private sector and civil society actors interact in different arenas of engagement, including economic + financial, knowledge + technology, ecological, political, socio-cultural and community arenas.



Photo: wonderlate/unsplash.com

Photo: Joris Visser/unsplash.com

Photo. Asia Culturecenter/unsplash.com

Dimensions that enable actions towards higher climate resilient development



Arenas of engagement: Community Socio-cultural Political Ecological Knowledge + technology Economic + financial



Dimensions that result in actions towards lower climate resilient development

Key dimensions enable climate resilient development

• Dimensions that enable societal choices towards *higher* climate resilient development include knowledge diversity, ecosystem stewardship, equity and justice and inclusion.

 Dimensions that result in societal choices towards *lower* climate resilient development have been identified as singular knowledge, ecosystem degradation, exclusion, and inequity and injustice







Key message I

- Since AR5, climate risks are appearing faster and will get more severe sooner.
- Impacts cascade through natural and human systems, often compounding with the impacts from other human activities.

A. Erlangga/CIFOR-ICRAF CC BY-NC-ND 2.0; Florida Fish and Wildlife/T. Donovan, L. Junda & K. Sikkema/Unsplash; D. Onyodi/KRCS CC BY-NC 2.0

Key message II

- For many locations on Earth, the capacity for adaptation is already significantly limited.
- The maintenance and recovery of natural and human systems will depend on the achievement of mitigation targets.



S. Bellerose/UNDP in Mauritius and Seychelles CC BY-NC 2.0; India Water Portal CC BY-NC-SA 2.0; J. Turner/CCAFS_CC BY-NC-SA 2.0; A. Erlangga & M. del Aguila Guerrero//CIFOR-ICRAF CC BY-NC-ND 2.0



Key message III

• The magnitude of observed impacts and projected climate risks indicate the scale of decision-making, funding and investment needed over the next decade if climate resilient development is to be achieved.

10CC @@

 Available evidence on projected climate risks indicates that opportunities for adaptation to many climate risks will likely become constrained and have reduced effectiveness should 1.5°C global warming be exceeded...

S. Doerr/imaggeo.egu.eu; Jay Huang CC BY 2.0, Flickr; Netherlands Ministry of Defense BY SA 2.0; BC Ministry of Transportation CC BY-NC-ND 2.0; Ocean Image Bank/The Ocean Agency



 The scientific evidence is unequivocal:
 climate change is a threat to human wellbeing and the health of the planet.

Any further delay in concerted global action will miss the brief, rapidly closing window to secure a liveable and sustainable future for all.

This report offers solutions to the world.





INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON Climate change

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Debora Ley <u>debbieannley@yahoo.com</u>, <u>debora.ley@cepal.org</u>, @DebbieLeyL

For More Information:

- [∠] <u>www.ipcc.ch</u>
- IPCC Secretariat: ipcc-sec@wmo.int
 IPCC Press Office: ipcc-media@wmo.int

